IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

)
)
) CIVIL ACTION
) NO. 09-cv-0956
)
)
)

ORDER

AND NOW, this 11th day of December 2009, upon consideration of Plaintiff's Motion for Protective Order Re: Rule 30(b)(6) Deposition and Brief In Support of Plaintiff's Motion [docket entry Nos. 44 and 45], Defendant's Brief In Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion for Protective Order Re: Rule 30(b)(6) Deposition [docket entry No. 49], Plaintiff's Reply Brief In Support of Motion for Protective Order Re: Rule 30(b)(6) Deposition [docket entry No. 54], and Defendant's Sur-Reply Brief In Support of Opposition to Motion for Protective Order Re: Rule 30(b)(6) Deposition [docket entry No. 58], and for the reasons set forth in the attached Memorandum Opinion, it is hereby ORDERED that Plaintiff's Motion is DENIED.

It is so **ORDERED**.

BY THE COURT:
/s/ Cynthia M. Rufe
CYNTHIA M. RUFE, J.